[4th December 1954

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" (*a*) Sri Sundaram Pillai, the ex-secretary of the Tuticorin Co-operative Urban Bank had furnished a security deposit of only Rs. 1,000. None of the Auditors in their reports had suggested or recommended that this deposit should be withheld or forfeited towards the loss sustained by the bank. When settling the claims of the ex-Secretary, the Board of Directors of the Bank sanctioned the refund of this security deposit.

" (*b*) The Deputy Registrar (Sri R. S. Subramaniam Pillai) was transferred in the usual course. There was no intention to suppress any audit report.

" (*c*) No, Sir."

* 52 *Q*.—SRI P. RANGASWAMI REDDIAR : Will the Hon. the Minister for Health be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether Mr. R. S. Subramania Pillai, the then Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies at Tuticorin, reported to the Registrar about his intention to take criminal proceedings against the President, ex-President, Directors and Secretary of Tuticorin Co-operative Urban Bank, Ltd., in 1951;

(*b*) whether the Registrar gave such permission and whether any proceedings were taken against the President, Directors, etc., and if not, why;

(*c*) whether the Registrar camped at Tirunelveli, caused the then Secretary, Mr. Sundaram Pillai, to resign his job, and appointed one Mr. A. Madalaimuthu Udayar in his place; and

(*d*) whether the said Mr. Madalaimuthu Udayar was asked to work under the direct supervision of the Registrar and not under the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies; and if so, why?

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" (*a*) No, Sir.

" (*b*) Does not arise.

" (*c*) The Registrar often camped at Tirunelveli in the usual course. He did not cause Sri Sundaram Pillai, the then Secretary of the Society to resign his job. He lent Sri Madalaimuthu Udayar to the Society only at the request of the Directors of the Bank.

" (*d*) The answer to the first part of the question is ' No '. The second part of the question does not therefore arise."

SRI P. RANGASWAMI REDDIAR :—" With reference to question No. 51, is the Hon. Minister aware of the article in the ' Hindu Mathabimanam ', a Tamil monthly which contained the allegations? Was any investigation made into those allegations by the Department and the Registrar? "

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" A separate question may be given notice of."

4th December 1954]

*Disciplinary action against Government servants for participation in the agitation against the Modified Scheme of Elementary Education.*

* 53 Q.—SRI A. RATNAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state the number of State Government servants and teachers against whom disciplinary action was taken or is pending for absenting from office in connection with their agitation against the Modified Scheme of Elementary Education?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Action was taken against one Government servant, who was convicted by the Magistrate under section 41 of the Madras City Police Act and under section 188 (1) of the Indian Penal Code.

No action was taken against any teacher."

*Implementation of the recommendations of the Textile Tripartite Enquiry Committee.*

* 54 Q.—SRI N. K. PALANISAMI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) the number of textile mills in the State which have implemented the recommendations of the Tripartite Committee; and

(b) the number of mills which have not implemented them?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" (a) & (b) The Textile Tripartite Enquiry Committee has made as many as 98 recommendations on working conditions, Wages, Dearness Allowance, Bonus, Standardisation of occupations, work-loads, etc. Neither the employers nor the employees are statutorily bound to implement the recommendations of the Committee. The Government have not, therefore, collected the information required by the member."

SRI N. K. PALANISAMI :—" முக் கூட்டுக் கமிட்டி சிபார்சுகள் வெளியான ஒரு வருஷ காலத்தில் ஒரு மில்லில்கூட அது அமுலாகவில்லை. ஆகவே இவ்வளவு பணம் செலவுசெய்து எதற்காக இந்தக் கமிட்டியை கூட்டவேண்டும் ? "

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" I myself have been wondering what purpose has been served by the constitution of this Committee."

SRI R. KUPPUSWAMY :—" ஐயா, இந்த முக் கூட்டுக் கமிட்டி சிபார்சுகள் அமுலுக்கு கொண்டுவரவில்லை என்பது தெரிகிறது. இதற்குக் காரணம் முதலாளிகள் தடையா, அல்லது தொழிலாளிகள் தடையாக இருக்கிறார்களா என்று கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் சொல்வாரா ? தடைகள் என்ன என்பதையும் அவர் சொல்வாரா ? "

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" The recom- 12-10 p.m.
mendations on most of the main issues that were discussed in this tripartite committee are not unanimous. The management took one view and the workers' representatives